

From:

Jeff Fulgham
P.O. Box 267
Fargo, GA 31631
jefffulgham@hotmail.com

To:

Mr. Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
40 Capitol Square, SW
Atlanta, GA 30334

Email Copy:

Georgia State Election Board
2 MLK Jr. Drive
Suite 802 Floyd West Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Mr. Matt Mashburn
mmashburn@georgia-elections.com

Dr. Jan Johnston
JJohnstonMD.seb@gmail.com

Mrs. Sara Tindall Ghazal
SaraGhazal.seb@gmail.com

Mr. Edward Lindsey
Edwardlindsey.seb@gmail.com

October 20, 2022

Election Fraud Complaint (2020)

Introduction

Dear Mr. Carr,

My name is Jeff Fulgham and I'm a resident of the state of Georgia. I was not involved in the 2020 election process other than casting my vote. I'm a Sergeant First Class (Ret.) with the Army National Guard and a veteran of the U.S. Navy with 20 years of combined service. I attended college on the GI Bill and earned a BA in History and have worked as a historian and researcher for 13 years. Both this report and my research in general is politically neutral.

Mr. Joseph Rossi and Mr. Kevin Moncla filed complaints in 2021 with the Governor's Office and the State Election Board (SEB). In general, their complaint alleged that numerous errors existed on the Fulton County 2020 Risk Limiting Audit (RLA) hand count spreadsheet. Mr. Rossi, a retired executive who holds a degree in Chemical Engineering, calculated that these errors resulted in a net gain for Joe Biden of approximately 4,000 votes.

After a lengthy inquiry into the Rossi/Moncla complaint by State Election Board investigators, they announced their findings at the March 2022 SEB hearing. State investigators found Fulton County in violation of election code 183-1-15-0422, where Fulton County "misidentified and duplicated" batch sheet entries on the RLA (hand count) spreadsheet. They did not, however, conclude that Fulton's actions were intentional. But having conducted nearly two years of research on the 2020 election, I disagree with that conclusion. Though I do not know exactly who is responsible, I believe the evidence strongly suggests intent. And furthermore, the SEB investigators failed to provide several key pieces of evidence to the State Election Board that I will include in the complaint below.

While Rossi and Moncla were filing complaints with the state of Georgia in 2021, I, working separately, filed a report with the U.S. Cybersecurity and Infrastructure Security Agency (CISA), Department of Homeland Security (DHS). My December 2021 Critical Infrastructure Incident Report was composed with much of the same evidence as the Rossi/Moncla complaint. As you are aware the DHS and the Georgia Secretary of State (GASOS) now share election security responsibility as a result of an executive action by the outgoing Obama Administration in 2017 which designated state election systems as a federal Critical Infrastructure. After filing my report with CISA, I then contacted and briefed the FBI on the same report. I've been in contact with the FBI on three occasions concerning this matter.

Complaint

In this complaint I allege that a minimum of approximately 3,000 fake votes were included in the Fulton County, Georgia election results in 2020. In addition, the evidence suggests that the hand count was intentionally falsified. I make no assumptions concerning who may be ultimately responsible. This complaint concerns Fulton County alone.

In the context of this complaint I define a fake vote as an illicit or illegal vote. One example included in this complaint is a digital vote created by a double scanned ballot. Another example is a digital vote which has no ballot or record to prove its authenticity.

An Overview of the 2020 Vote Tallies

The 2020 Presidential election process in Georgia consisted of 3 vote tallies and one forensic exam of voting machines. These included:

Tally #1 (Machine Count)
Tally #2 (RLA Hand Count of Every Ballot)
Tally #3 (Machine Recount)
Forensic Exam of Select Machines

Tally #1

The GASOS Office initially claimed that no ballots were double scanned or counted twice. My report to CISA (DHS) in December 2021 alleged otherwise. Then in February 2022, or two months after my report was filed, the GASOS finally admitted that several thousand ballots were double scanned. It took fourteen months after the election for the GASOS to make this admission. We now know that a minimum of approximately 3,000 ballots were double scanned (manually or digitally) in Tally #1. The GASOS attributes these double scanned ballots to human error. Although that is probable, I'm not 100% sure that human error is entirely to blame in this case.

Evidence:

Georgia Secretary of State Brad Raffensperger admitted that the Fulton County hand count was "off by several thousand ballots because you [Fulton County] scanned some of these ballots twice." (Brad Raffensperger, on the Bryan K. Pritchard Show, FYNTV, February 22, 2022)

It is illegal for an individual to vote twice. Therefore it is also illegal to count a ballot twice. Three thousand ballots scanned twice equals 3,000 fake votes. We know these fake votes were counted in Tally #1 because Raffensperger acknowledged that the double scans caused the Tally #2 hand count to be "off by several thousand." (Raffensperger, February 2022)

The Dominion Voting machines used in Georgia in 2020 had a flaw, by error or design, that allowed the same ballot to be scanned multiple times. The video link below provides a demonstration by the Coffee County election supervisor which proves ballots could be scanned and counted multiple times in Georgia 2020.

Evidence:

"Dominion Voting Machine Flaws 2020 Election Coffee County, Georgia Video 1 (&2)"
<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=46CAKyyObIs>

<https://m.youtube.com/watch?v=ijjwS6h-PyU>

It is possible, however, that the number of fake votes in Tally #1 was much higher than the "several thousand" acknowledged by Raffensperger. Evidence from Tally #3 supports this possibility.

Evidence:

Through an Open Records Request Fulton County was only able to provide images of approximately 510,000 ballots from Tally #3, although they claimed approximately 528,000 ballots cast. Yet each of the three Fulton vote tallies nearly matched. This suggests the possibility that approximately 18,000 ballots were also missing in Tally #1 and Tally #2. And the fact that Fulton County prematurely erased approximately 377,000 ballot images from Tally #1 is suspicious.

Reported Fulton County 2020 vote totals:

Tally #1= 524,659

Tally #2= 525,293

Tally #3= 523,779

Tally #2

The Rossi/Moncla complaint alledged that batch numbers were misidentified and duplicated on the hand count spreadsheet. The Governor's Office verified the general accuracy of their complaint before requesting that the SEB investigate. I also conducted several months of independent research (working separately from Moncla, Rossi, and the Governor's Office) on the same hand count spreadsheet evidence before filing my December 2021 report with CISA (DHS). As with the Governor's Office, I also found the Rossi/Moncla report to be generally accurate.

Just as ballots should not be scanned twice in the machine count, batches of ballots should not be reported twice during the hand count. The duplicate reporting of a batch creates a fake batch, which conceals fake votes. Mr. Rossi calculated that these fake batch entries resulted in a net gain for Joe Biden of approximately 4,000 votes.

In March 2022 State Election Board investigators concluded and confirmed after a lengthy inquiry that Fulton County "misidentified and duplicated" batch sheets on the RLA hand count spreadsheet. Investigators determined that Fulton was therefore in violation of election code 183-1-15-0422. This election code requires county election staff to insure that the Risk Limiting Audit (hand count) is conducted accurately. The SEB investigators testified that they found a few batch exceptions to Mr. Rossi's calculation, but they did not recalculate the total net effect on the outcome of the election.

To my knowledge, SEB Investigators never informed the Board that in Fulton County "several thousand ballots" (Raffensperger, February 2022) had been double scanned in Tally #1.

Nor did they inform the SEB Board that this resulted in the Tally #2 hand count being off by several thousand. This information was available one month before the hearing. The State Election Board should have been informed of this extremely significant evidence because it provides a motive for election workers or contractors to falsify the Tally #2 hand count so that it would match the totals of the Tally #1 machine count.

At the March 2022 State Election Board hearing the Fulton County attorney representing the county election staff never denied that her employees, or contractors, were responsible for the false entries. She claimed that the duplicate entries were an accident caused by insufficient training in batch naming conventions. In addition, Georgia official Ryan Germany testified during the hearing that Voting Works staff also claimed these were errors. Mr. Germany reported that Voting Works attributed the misidentified and duplicated batch entries to a heavy work load and time constraints. Voting Works was paid a considerable amount of money to manage the audit or hand count.

A thorough analysis of the duplicate entries on the Fulton hand count spreadsheet suggests that batches were intentionally duplicated. The following duplicate is just one example.

Evidence:

AbsenteeScanner2Batch240 (no spaces)

AbsenteeScanner2Batch 240 (identical to the original but with one space intentionally added)

I experimented with the simple search tool on the Fulton hand count spreadsheet and a search for one did not result in a find for both. Therefore, intentionally adding just one space prevented a successful simple search find of both batches. The duplicate batch is fake. As mentioned previously, you can only report a batch one time.

Because of the shadowy and secretive nature of the current election system in operation in most states, including Georgia, it is difficult to identify who is responsible. In fact, to be fair, Fulton County employees were not allowed to see the spreadsheet they were building with each batch entry. Based on my understanding, the organization Voting Works was tabulating the spreadsheet totals. Voting Works staff may be partially responsible for the misidentified and duplicated batch entries and I recommend that they be questioned by Georgia officials or federal investigators.

Tally #3

Tally #3 was a machine scan and is commonly referred to as the Recount. It was the last vote count and was used to officially certify the Presidential race. Fulton County reported approximately 528,000 ballots cast in Tally #3. But through an Open Records Request Fulton could only produce approximately 510,000 ballot images. This means that in addition to several thousand fake duplicate ballots, there are also another approximately 18,000 ballot images

missing. (Philip Stark report March 9, 2022) (Moncla/Rossi report July 8, 2022). This means that Fulton County cannot produce evidence to prove the authenticity of approximately 21,000 ballots. This evidence suggests that the Tally #3 total contains somewhere between 3,000 and 21,000 fake digital votes.

Forensic Exam of Select Machines

In July 2021, which was around eight months after the election, I filed an Open Records Request with the GASOS Office requesting certain audit documents. One of these documents was the Forensic Exam Report from December 2020 written by the auditing firm Pro V&V. After analyzing the outlined procedures of the exam I determined that the methods used by Pro V&V could not have detected fake votes created by double scanned ballots or the false entries on the hand count spreadsheet.

Pro V&V stated that the purpose of the exam was to insure that the hardware, software, and firmware had not been altered or tampered with. In addition, only a small percentage of the machines in Georgia were examined and the GASOS Office was unable to provide me with evidence that any of Fulton's machines were examined.

My determination that the forensic exam could not have detected the fake votes and falsified hand count outlined in this complaint can be confirmed by the written testimony of Philip Stark in his March 9, 2022 Curling Vs. Raffensperger case report. Stark, a professor of computing and statistics at Berkeley, stated that "From the records produced so far, it is impossible to determine whether malware, bugs, misconfiguration, or malfeasance disfranchised voters or altered the election results." (Stark, March 2022) This conclusion by Mr. Stark is very troubling.

Complaint Summary

In summary, my complaint alleges that a minimum of 3,000 fake votes were created in Fulton County by double scanned (manually or digitally) ballots in Tally #1 as acknowledged by the GASOS. The evidence indicates that these fake votes were not mitigated or reconciled in Tally #2. The evidence also shows that Fulton County staff or private contractors "misidentified and duplicated" (SEB investigators) batches on the hand count spreadsheet totals. State investigators found Fulton violated election code 183-1-15-0422 in Tally #2.

My complaint alleges that these duplicate batch entries on the hand count spreadsheet were intentional. I believe that the hand count was falsified so that Tally #1 and Tally #2 totals would match. I do not presume to know who is responsible, or whether those responsible were Fulton County employees or private contractors.

Because 18,000 ballot images are suspiciously missing from the Tally #3 records, it is impossible to determine the total number of fake or illicit votes and subsequently their precise impact on the outcome. But if they represented a typical sample from Fulton County 2020 then 73% of these votes would have gone to Joe Biden. Therefore, the net gain would have gone to Joe Biden.

In addition, there are many suspicious anomalies not mentioned in this complaint that are currently being investigated by independent researchers.

Conclusion

After two years of research I have little confidence in the accuracy or security of the current election system as a whole. I believe we have jeopardized our security and forfeited our sovereignty by allowing these out of state corporations and nonprofit organizations to manage our elections.

Thank you for your time and assistance.

Respectfully,

Jeff Fulgham
SFC (RET) Army National Guard
Historian